# KENT COUNTY COUNCIL EQUALITY ANALYSIS/IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EqIA)

This document is available in other formats, Please contact Ben.hilden@Kent.gov.uk or telephone on 03000 413668

You need to start your Equality Analysis and data collection when you start to create or change any policy, procedure project or service

When developing high-level strategies under which other policies will sit, if those policies are jointly owned by KCC and partner organisations, they will need to take the partnership approach to EqIAs,

Please read the EqIA GUIDANCE and the EqIA flow chart available on KNet.

**Directorate:** Growth, Environment and Transport

Name of policy, procedure, project or service South Street, Deal – Bus Hub Improvements

What is being assessed? Capital project

Responsible Owner/Senior Officer Andy Corcoran/Tim Read

**Date of Initial Screening** 30/03/2015

Date of Full EqIA: 30/03/2015

Version	Author	Date	Comment
1A	BH	30/03/2015	First issue

# **Screening Grid**

Characteristic	Could this policy, procedure, project or service, or any proposed changes to it, affect this group less favourably than	potentia HIGH/N LOW	ment of al impact MEDIUM MONE NOWN	Provide details: a) Is internal action required? If yes what? b) Is further assessment required? If yes, why?	Could this policy, procedure, project or service promote equal opportunities for this group? YES/NO - Explain how good practice can promote equal opportunities
	others in Kent? YES/NO If yes how?	Positive	Negative	Internal action must be included in Action Plan	If yes you must provide detail
Age	No	Low	Low	No	No
Disability	No	Low	Low	No	No
Gender	No	Low	Low	No	No
Gender identity	No	Low	Low	No	No
Race	No	Low	Low	No	No
Religion or belief	No	Low	Low	No	No
Sexual orientation	No	Low	Low	No	No
Pregnancy and maternity	No	Low	Low	No	No
Marriage and Civil Partnerships	No	Low	Low	No	No
Carer's responsibilities	No	Low	Low	No	No

### Part 1: INITIAL SCREENING

**Proportionality** - Based on the answers in the above screening grid what weighting would you ascribe to this function – see Risk Matrix

Low	<b>Medium</b>	<mark>High</mark>	
Low relevance or	Medium relevance or	High relevance to	
Insufficient	Insufficient	equality, /likely to have	
information/evidence to	information/evidence to	adverse impact on	
make a judgement.	make a Judgement.	protected groups	

# State rating & reasons

This is project is designed to benefit all users of the Highway network in Kent

#### Context

The scheme is designed to reduce journey times and congestion

# **Aims and Objectives**

The aim of this project is to improve journey times by reducing congestion and to ensure pedestrians of all types can use the network at this junction safely

### **Beneficiaries**

All users of the public highway

## Information and Data

The scheme has been designed using data from traffic count surveys and junction modelling and is based on a predicted 10 year growth pattern

# **Involvement and Engagement**

The scheme and outcomes are to be reported to memebers via Joint transportation board meetings. A press release will be issued prior to the start of construction and a letter drop to surrounding properties will take place in advance of works

# **Potential Impact**

None

Adverse Impact:

None	
Positive Impact:	
The scheme when installed will improve journ peak time congestion	ney times locally by minimising
JUDGEMENT	
Option 1 – Screening Sufficient	YES
Following this initial screening our judgement is required.	s that no further action is
Monitoring and Review We will undertake to review this assessment should change during the design process.	nould the scope of the scheme
Sign Off	
I have noted the content of the equality impact actions to mitigate the adverse impact(s) that h	
Senior Officer	
Signed:	Name:
Job Title:	Date:
DMT Member	
Signed:	Name:
Job Title:	Date:

**Equality Impact Assessment Action Plan** 

Protected Characteristic	Issues identified	Action to be taken	Expected outcomes	Owner	Timescale	Cost implications